

# The determinants of the preferences for redistribution

13th Winter School on Inequality and Social Welfare Theory Alba di Canazei, Italy (IT13)

Brice Magdalou January 8, 2018

CEE-M - University of Montpellier, France

References

- A. Abel. Asset prices under habit formation and catching up with the joneses. *American Economic Review*, 80:38–42, 1990.
- D. Acemoglu, S. Naidu, P. Restrepo, and J. Robinson. Democracy, redistribution, and inequality. In A. Atkinson and F. Bourguignon, editors, *Handbook of Income Distribution*, volume 2B, pages 1885–1966. North-Holland, 2015.
- P. Aghion, E. Caroli, and C. Garcia-Peñalosa. Inequality and economic growth: The perspective of the new growth theories. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 37:1615–1660, 1999.
- A. Alesina and G. Angeletos. Fairness and redistribution: Us vs. europe. *American Economic Review*, 95:913–935, 2005.

#### **References II**

- A. Alesina and P. Giulano. Preferences for redistribution. In A. Bisin and J. Benhabib, editors, *Handbook of Social Economics*, pages 93–132. North-Holland, 2011.
- A. Alesina and E. Glaeser. *Fighting Poverty in the US and Europe: A World of Difference*. Oxford University Press, Oxford UK, 2004.
- A. Alesina and E. La Ferrara. Preferences for redistribution in the land of opportunities. *Journal of Public Economics*, 89:897931, 2005.
- A. Alesina, R. Baqir, and W. Easterly. Public goods and ethnic divisions. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114:1243–1284, 1999.
- A. Alesina, E. Glaeser, and B. Sacerdote. Why doesn't the united states have a european-style welfare state? *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 2, 2001.

# **References III**

- A. Alesina, S. Stancheva, and E. Teso. Intergenerational mobility and support for redistribution. *American Economic Review*, forthcoming, 2018.
- I. Almas, A. Cappelen, E. Sørensen, and B. Tungodden. Fairness and the development of inequality acceptance. *Science*, 328:1176–1178, 2010.
- Almas, A. Cappelen, and B. Tungodden. Cutthroat capitalism versus cuddly socialism: Are americans more meritocratic and efficiency-seeking than scandinavians? Discussion Paper SAM 18 2016, NHH Department of Economics, 2016.
- F. Alvaredo, A. Atkinson, T. Piketty, and E. Saez. The top 1 percent in international and historical perspective. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 27(3):3–20, 2013.

## **References IV**

- D. Ariely. *Predictably Irrational*. HarperCollins Publishers, New York, 2008.
- K. Arrow and R. Lind. Uncertainty and the evaluation of public investment decisions. *American Economic Review*, 60(3):364–378, 1970.
- V. Ashok, I. Kuziemko, and E. Washington. Support for redistribution in an age of rising inequality: New stylized facts and some tentative explanations. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity Spring*, Spring: 367–405, 2015.
- R. Benabou and E. Ok. Social mobility and the demand for redistribution: The poum hypothesis. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(2): 447487, 2001.
- M. Boskin and E. Sheshinski. Optimal redistributive taxation when individual welfare depends upon relative income. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 92(4):589–601, 1978.

- J. Buchanan and G. Tullock. *The Calculus of Consent: Logical Foundations of Constitutional Democracy*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor Paperbacks, 1962.
- T. Buser, L. Putterman, and J. van der Weele. Gender and redistribution: Experimental evidence. Tinbergen Institute Discussion Paper 63/16, 2016.
- D. Card, A. Mas, E. Moretti, and E. Saez. Inequality at work: The effect of peers salary on job satisfaction. *American Economic Review*, 102(6): 2981–3003, 2012.
- J. Chambers, L. Swan, and M. Heesacker. Better off than we know: Distorted perceptions of incomes and income inequality in america. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 25(2):613–618, 2014.

# **References VI**

- D. Checchi and A. Filippin. An experimental study of the POUM hypothesis. In F. Cowell, editor, *Inequality, Welfare and Income Distribution: Experimental Approaches*, pages 115–136. Research on Economic Inequality, Volume 11, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 2004.
- R. Chetty, N. Hendren, P. Kline, and E. Saez. Where is the land of opportunity? the geography of intergenerational mobility in the united states. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 129(4):1553–1623, 2014.
- A. Clark, P. Frijters, and M. Shields. Relative income, happiness, and utility: An explanation for the easterlin paradox and other puzzles. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 46(1):95–144, 2008.
- G. Corneo and H. Gruner. Individual preferences for political redistribution. *Journal of Public Economics*, 83(1):83–107, 2002.

## **References VII**

- J. Costa-i-Font and F. Cowell. Social identity and redistributive preferences: A survey. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 29(2):357–374, 2015.
- G. Cruces, R. Perez-Truglia, and M. Tetaz. Biased perceptions of income distribution and preferences for redistribution: Evidence from a survey experiment. *Journal of Public Economics*, 98:100–112, 2012.
- A. Downs. *An Economic Theory of Democracy*. Harper & Row, New York, 1957.
- B. Dupor and W. Liu. Jealousy and equilibrium overconsumption. *American Economic Review*, 93(1):423–428, 2003.
- R. Durante, L. Putterman, and J. van der Weele. Preferences for redistribution and perceptions of fairness: An experimental study. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 12(4):1059–1086, 2014.

## **References VIII**

- A. Falk and M. Knell. Choosing the joneses: Endogenous goals and reference standards. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 106(3): 417–435, 2004.
- E. Fehr and K. Schmidt. A theory of fairness, competition, and cooperation. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 114(3):817–868, 1999.
- J. Gali. Keeping up with the joneses: Consumption externalities, portfolio choice, and asset prices. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 26(1): 1–8, 1994.
- V. Gimpelson and D. Treisman. Misperceiving inequality. *Economics and Politics*, forthcoming, 2018.
- P. Guilano and A. Spilimbergo. Growing up in a recession. *Review on Economic Studies*, 2(1):787–817, 2014.
- J. Harsanyi. Cardinal welfare, individualistic ethics, and interpersonal comparisons of utility. *Journal of Political Economy*, 63:309–321, 1955.

# **References IX**

- O. Hauser and M. Norton. (Mis)perceptions of inequality. Current Opinion in Psychology, 18:21–25, 2017.
- G. Hess. Marriage and consumption insurance: What's love got to do with it? *Journal of Political Economy*, 112:290–318, 2004.
- A. Hirschman. The changing tolerance for income inequality in the course of economic development. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 87: 544566, 1973.
- J. Hochschild. *What's Fair? American Beliefs about Distributive Justice*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge/London, 1981.
- M. Karadja, J. Mollerstrom, and D. Seim. Richer (and holier) than thou? the effect of relative income improvements on demand for redistribution. *Review on Economics and Statistics*, 99(2):201–212, 2017.

# **References X**

- Kuziemko, R. Buell, T. Reich, and M. Norton. Last-place aversion: Evidence and redistributive implications. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 129(1):105–149, 2014.
- Kuziemko, M. I. Norton, E. Saez, and S. Stantcheva. How elastic are preferences for redistribution? evidence from randomized survey experiments. *American Economic Review*, 105(4):1478–1508, 2015.
- L. Ljungqvist and H. Uhlig. Tax policy and aggregate demand management under catching up with the joneses. *American Economic Review*, 90(3):356–366, 2000.
- E. Luttmer. Group loyalty and the taste for redistribution. *Journal of Political Economy*, 109(3):500–528, 2001.
- E. Luttmer. Neighbors as negatives: Relative earnings and well-being. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 120(3):963–1002, 2005.

# **References XI**

- E. Luttmer and M. Singhal. Culture, context, and the taste for redistribution. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 3: 157–179, 2001.
- N. Mankiw. Defending the one percent. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 27(3):21–34, 2013.
- L. McCall, D. Burk, M. Laperriere, and J. Richeson. Exposure to rising inequality shapes americans opportunity beliefs and policy support. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(36): 9593–9598, 2017.
- A. Meltzer and S. Richard. A rational theory of size of government. *Journal of Political Economy*, 89(5):914–927, 1981.
- D. Mueller. *Public Choice III*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2003.

## **References XII**

- F. Neher. Preferences for redistribution around the world. School of Business and Economics Discussion Paper 2012/2, Freie Universitat Berlin, 2010.
- J. Niehues. Subjective perceptions of inequality and redistributive preferences: An international comparison. Discussion Paper, Cologne Institute for Economic Research, 2014.
- M. Norton and D. Ariely. Building a better america one wealth quintile at a time. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 6(1):9–12, 2011.
- J. Olivera. Preferences for redistribution after the economic crisis. *Economics and Business Letters*, 3(3):137–145, 2014.
- J. Olivera. Preferences for redistribution in europe. *IZA Journal of European Labor Studies*, 4(14):1–18, 2015.
- A. Oswald. Altruism, jealousy and the theory of optimal non-linear taxation. *Journal of Public Economics*, 20(1):77–87, 1983.

## **References XIII**

- T. Piketty. Social mobility and redistributive politics. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110(3):551–584, 1995.
- T. Piketty and E. Saez. Optimal labor income taxation. In M. F.
  A. Auerbach, R. Chetty and E. Saez, editors, *Handbook of Public Economics*, volume 5, pages 391–474. North-Holland, 2013.
- T. Piketty, E. Saez, and S. Stancheva. Optimal taxation of top labor incomes: A tale of three elasticities. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 6(1):230–271, 2014.
- M. Ravallion and M. Lokshin. Who wants to redistribute? the tunnel effect in 1990s russia. *Journal of Public Economics*, 76:87–104, 2000.
- J. Roemer. *Theories of Distributive Justice*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1996.
- J. Roemer. Why the poor do no expropriate the rich: An old argument in new gard. *Journal of Public Economics*, 70:399–424, 1998.

- W. Runciman. *Relative Deprivation and Social Justice*. Routledge and Kegan Paul, London, 1966.
- M. Sands. Exposure to inequality affects support for redistribution. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(4):663–668, 2017.
- H. Schoeck. *Envy: A Theory of Social Behavior*. Harcourt, Brace and World, Inc., New York, 1969.
- J. Stiglitz. *The Price of Inequality: How Today's Divided Society Endangers our Future*. W.W. Norton & Company, New York, 2012.
- H. Varian. Redistributive taxation as social insurance. *Journal of Public Economics*, 14:49–68, 1980.